

National Institute of Urban Affairs

1st Floor, Core 4B India Habitat Centre Lodhi Road, New Delhi –110003

Dated: 18th February 2025

Preparation of Urban River Management Plan (URMP) for Five Cities in the State of Bihar

Replies to the Pre-Bid Queries

| S. No. | RFP Clause | Description as per RFP | Pre-bid queries | Replies / remarks |
|--------|----------------------|---|---|--|
| 1 | ICF India Private Li | mited | | |
| 1.1 | Section 3- | The Consultant/s in its last 10 years of | We request you to modify the clause as: | No change. As per RFP. |
| | prequalification | experience should have completed at | The Consultant/s in its last 15 years of | |
| | and technical | least two projects for (a),at least one | experience should have completed atleast | |
| | evaluation | project for (b), and atleast one project | two projects for (a), atleast one project for | |
| | criteria Page no. | from across (c), (d) and (e) as mentioned | (b), and atleast one project from across | |
| | 33, | below: | (c), (d) and (e) as mentioned below: | |
| | | a. Urban Planning and development – | a. Urban Planning and development – two | |
| | (Consultant | two projects compulsory | projects compulsory | |
| | Experience) | b. River management/planning and | b. River management/planning and | |
| | | development – one project compulsory | development – one project compulsory | |
| | | c. Urban waterbodies rejuvenation | c. Urban waterbodies rejuvenation | |
| | | d. Urban bio-diversity, ecology, | d. Urban bio-diversity, ecology, landscape | |
| | | landscape development | development | |
| | | e. Participatory approaches in urban | e. Participatory approaches in urban | |
| | | planning | planning | |
| 1.2 | Technical | Regional experience (relevant project | We request the authority to relax this | Please refer corrigendum/ addendum for the |
| | evaluation | experience in cities for which URMP to be | criteria and allow for the demonstration | revised criteria. |
| | criteria (1) (F) | prepared) – (10 marks for each project | of project experience in any city ,rather | |
| | Page no. 36 | city) – The consultant shall demonstrate | than specific experience across technical | |
| | | project experience (minimum one project) | evaluation criteria (a), (b), (c), (d) and (e). | |



| S. No. | RFP Clause | Description as per RFP | Pre-bid queries | Replies / remarks |
|--------|---|--|---|--|
| | (Regional Experience) | across (a), (b), (c), (d) and (e) as mentioned above in any of the 5 cities for which URMP to be prepared | | |
| 1.3 | Technical evaluation criteria (3) Page no. 38 (Qualification Criteria of Urban Environment Expert) | Urban Environment Expert: Minimum Qualification - Graduate in environmental engineering/planning and Postgraduate in urban environmental planning, public health engineering, environmental engineering, or related discipline. | We request you to modify minimum qualification by allowing graduation in geography or related disciplines with post- graduation in urban environmental planning, public health engineering, environmental engineering, or related discipline with extensive experience in the field. | Please refer corrigendum/ addendum for the revised Qualification Criteria of Urban Environment Expert. |
| 1.4 | Technical evaluation criteria (3) Page no. 40 Lead Researcher Eligibility Criteria | Lead Researcher (5 positions) with more than 5 years of experience in urban/environmental planning projects like master plan, city development plan, city sanitation plan, environmental management plan etc. Each planner should have at least 2 eligible assignment experience as lead researcher as mentioned in (a) Urban Development and Planning project | We request you to please relax the minimum experience to 3-5 years. Also please clarify if the lead researchers need to be posted in the assignment city. | No change. As per RfP. |
| 1.5 | Detailed Scope of Work (Part b) Page 70 AND Page 76 AND Page 77 Meetings with URMP working group | 1st Meeting of the Multistakeholder URMP Working Group & 2nd Meeting of the Multistakeholder URMP Working Group & 3rd Meeting of the Multistakeholder URMP Working Group | We request you to clarify whether this meeting will be held virtually or in-person. If it's an in-person meeting, please specify who will handle the logistical arrangements. To ascertain the exact cost implications for organising these meetings, please specify the details of the | The multistakeholder meetings to be conducted in-person. The meeting logistics to be arranged by the consultant. The budget for the same to be included as part of the financial proposal. |



| S. No. | RFP Clause | Description as per RFP | Pre-bid queries | Replies / remarks |
|--------|-------------------|--|---|--|
| | | | support which will need to be provided by | |
| | | | the consultants | |
| 1.6 | Detailed Scope of | Prepare baseline assessment report – | Please specify if the secondary data such | The consultants are required to collect |
| | Work (Part c) | data collection (primary/secondary) for | as base map and statistical data will be | secondary/primary data from ULB/other |
| | Page 70 | conducting baseline assessment to | provided by the client, or alternatively | relevant line departments/secondary sources |
| | Prepare baseline | identify gaps with regards to the 10 URMP | please suggest the support which will be | and validate the same. |
| | assessment | objectives. The baseline and gaps to be | provided by the client in helping the | |
| | report | presented in spatial format as well (GIS | consultants obtain such data. | |
| | | based maps). | | |
| 1.7 | Section 3 – Pre- | The Consultant shall submit an irrevocable | We request you to kindly permit the | The performance security will be accepted only |
| | Qualification and | Performance Guarantee of 5% of the | successful bidder to submit a Demand | in the form of Bank Guarantee/ Fixed Deposit |
| | Technical | tendered amount for his proper | Draft in lieu of a bank guarantee against | Receipt (FDR). |
| | Evaluation | performance of the contract agreement, | Performance Security. We are pleased to | |
| | Criteria | (not withstanding and/or without | confirm that a similar model has been | The arrangement between the Consultant and |
| | Page 41 | prejudice to any other provisions in the | adopted by all the government and | NIUA will be a commercial contract. |
| | | contract) within period specified in from | multilateral clients for whom we have | |
| | Performance | the date of issue of letter or acceptance. | been rendering services for several years | Please refer Corrigendum/ addendum for the |
| | Bank | This period can be further extended for 15 | now. Moreover, this request is being | revised performance security requirements. |
| | Guarantee | days on written request of the Consultant | submitted since at present, we do not | |
| | | stating the reason for delays in procuring | have any bank | |
| | | the Performance Guarantee to the | guarantee facility extended by our bank. | |
| | | satisfaction of the Director, NIUA. This | Request you to kindly consider the same. | |
| | | Guarantee shall be in the form of Bank | We request you to kindly confirm that the | |
| | | Guarantee from any of the Commercial | arrangement between the Consultant and | |
| | | Banks. | NIUA will be a commercial Contract, and | |
| | | | not a grant / sub-grant, with the | |
| | | | Consultant being allowed to charge profit | |
| | | | on its services. | |
| 1.8 | Submission | | Given the detailed scope and | No change. As per RFP. |
| | Deadline | | requirements outlined in the RFP, we seek | |



| S. No. | RFP Clause | Description as per RFP | Pre-bid queries | Replies / remarks |
|--------|--------------------|---|--|--|
| | | | an extension of at least 10 days beyond | |
| | | | the current submission deadline. This | |
| | | | additional time will allow us to conduct a | |
| | | | more thorough assessment, ensure | |
| | | | compliance with all | |
| | | | requirements, and prepare a | |
| | | | comprehensive and high-quality proposal | |
| | | | that aligns with your | |
| | | | expectations. | |
| 2 | INRM Consultants F | Pvt. Ltd. | | |
| 2.1 | Section 3 – Pre- | The consultant applying as a sole | NIUA is kindly requested to waive off the | No change. As per RFP. |
| | Qualification and | applicant should have received a | turnover criteria for MSMEs. We are a | |
| | Technical | minimum of INR 3 Crore from professional | R&D oriented company based at IIT Delhi. | |
| | Evaluation | fees in any three years during the last five | We have been working on river | |
| | Criteria in the | financial years (FY 2019-20, 2020-21, | management projects with IIT Delhi | |
| | Financial | 2021-22, 2022-2023 & 2023-2024) from | faculty since 2001 for government | |
| | Capacity at Page | consultancy services in India or Abroad. | agencies and international organizations | |
| | 34 | | like The World Bank, UNDP, GIZ, ADB, etc. | |
| 2.2 | Section 2. | The Consultant should submit hard copy | NIUA is kindly requested to waive off the | No change. As per RFP. |
| | Instructions to | of the signed Technical and Financial | hard copy submission. | |
| | Consultants in the | Proposal at: National Institute of Urban | | |
| | C. Submission, | Affairs (NIUA), 1 st Floor, Core 4B, India | | |
| | Opening and | Habitat Centre, Lodhi Road, New Delhi – | | |
| | Evaluation at | 110003. | | |
| | Page | | | |
| | 29 | | | |
| 2.3 | FORM TECH-5 | FORM TECH-5 PROFESSIONAL FEES | We already have an Annual Turnover | The submission to be made as per the specified |
| | PROFESSIONAL | RECEIVED BY THE CONSULTANT | Certificate certified by our CA for the last | format. |
| | FEES RECEIVED BY | | five years. Can we submit the same or do | |
| | THE CONSULTANT | | we need to prepare a fresh one in the | |
| | at Page | | specified format. | |



| S. No. | RFP Clause | Description as per RFP | Pre-bid queries | Replies / remarks |
|--------|--|--|--|--|
| | 56 | | | |
| 2.4 | TECHNICAL EVALUATION CRITERIA FOR SELECTION OF CONSULTANT in C. Approach and methodology at Page 34 | The approach and methodology should reflect the conceptual framework proposed by the Consultant for the said Scope of Work, Work Plan (BAR/PERT chart showing coherency with approach and methodology including team deployment in field) for completing the scope of work and timely submission of quality deliverables | NIUA is kindly requested to clarify if the Key Experts are to be deployed full time at the project location. If yes, whether office space will be provided by NIUA for the same. | The proposed team is to be deployed at the project location as per the work plan provided by the consultant in the technical proposal to achieve the mentioned scope of work. The extent of deployment of the team on field will be one of the criteria for technical evaluation. No office space will be provided at the project |
| 2.5 | TECHNICAL EVALUATION CRITERIA FOR SELECTION OF CONSULTANT in 3 Team Composition at Page 40 | Lead Researcher (5 positions) with more than 5 years of experience in urban/environmental planning projects like master plan, city development plan, city sanitation plan, environmental management plan etc. Each planner should have at least 2 eligible assignment experience as lead researcher as mentioned in (a) Urban Development and Planning projects | NIUA is kindly requested to clarify whether we can propose the name of same persons for both the RFPs (Bihar and Jharkhand) | location. Yes. Same team can be proposed for both the RFPs (Bihar and Jharkhand). If same firm emerges as top bidder in both the RfPs, only one state will be awarded. |
| 2.6 | C. Submission, Opening and Evaluation at Page 30 | The Proposals must be submitted no later than 28th February 2025 | NIUA is kindly requested to extend the deadline by at least two weeks so that we can modify our proposal according to the response on the queries raised by us. | No change. As per RFP |



| S. No. | RFP Clause | Description as per RFP | Pre-bid queries | Replies / remarks |
|--------|---|--|---|--|
| 2.7 | 3. Detailed scope of work for the assignment at Page 70 | Facilitate the formation of a "Multistakeholder URMP Working Group" at city level that would be responsible for overseeing the process of URMP preparation. The working group shall constitute officials from all fulfilling the 10 Objectives as mentioned in the URMP framework (refer expense please specify the number of participants, number of URMPs prepared by NIUA-NMCG for information on working groups meetings and their locations. constituted and its member composition). | NIUA is kindly requested to clarify whether NIUA will organize the stakeholder consultations and bear the costs associated with travel, boarding, lodging, meals, venue and workshop material. the relevant city/district/state departments that are responsible for material. If these meetings ae to be organized by us at our own expense please specify the number of participants, number of meetings and their locations. | The consultants are required to conduct the multi-stakeholder meetings (involves mostly local stakeholders) and factor the budget for meeting logistics in their financial proposal. As per the RFP, three meetings of the working group needs to be conducted. The location of the meeting would be within the URMP city. |
| 2.8 | List of Maps/Information (indicative) from Page 72-76 | Base Map, Urban Sprawl map, Activity mapping, Landcover change, Wastewater treatment infrastructure, Sanitary infrastructure, Solid waste management, Monitoring of drains, Pollution hotspots, Map of waterbodies, Riparian Buffer map, Treated Used water Reuse Map, Drainage map, Riverfront Areas map, Proposed riverfront development areas map, River Economy map and Avenues of citizen engagement | NIUA is kindly requested to clarify whether the data will be provided by NIUA or it is to be collected from secondary sources. Is there a requirement to collect data through field surveys. Also kindly specify the spatial and temporal resolution For each data set. | The required data to be collected by the consultant from secondary sources (including open source data and data from various line departments at state/city level) Wherever necessary, data may be collected/validated through primary surveys. The spatial and temporal resolution for each dataset will vary depending on the specific data type and project requirements. |



| S. No. | RFP Clause | Description as per RFP | Pre-bid queries | Replies / remarks |
|--------|--|--|--|--|
| 2.9 | Indicative Outputs, 1 - Regulation of Activities in Floodplain at Page 72 | River floodplain analysis – permissible activities, areas of concern | NIUA is kindly requested to clarify whether flood plains are already defined for different return periods. If not, are the flood plains to be demarcated through hydrodynamic modelling. | The flood plain demarcation may not be available for all the URMP cities. This information can be obtained from secondary sources or concerned departments. In cases where floodplain is not demarcated, specific provisions mentioned in NGT orders, state policies/guidelines/building bye-laws will be applicable. |
| 2.10 | Indicative Outputs, 2 - Pollution Free River at – Page 72 framework) | River water quality, Net Dissolved Oxygen Score (to derive URMindex) DO of river at entry and exit locations (city boundary) (refer URMP framework) | NIUA is kindly requested to clarify whether this data is already available with NIUA. | The data needs to be collected by the Consultant from secondary/primary sources. |
| 2.11 | Indicative Outputs, 4 - Enhancing Riparian Buffer at Page 73 | Riparian Buffer Score (to derive URMindex) - refer URMP framework | NIUA is kindly requested to clarify whether river cross sections to be taken by the consultant or not. If yes, specify the stretch of the river and its buffer zone. | No river cross sections are to be taken, however the river edge/riparian buffer profiling needs to be done as per the city context. |
| 2.12 | Indicative Outputs, 6 – Maximum Good Quality Return Flow at Page 74 | Groundwater management (monitoring of groundwater levels and quality, trend analysis, groundwater recharge potential) | NIUA is kindly requested to clarify whether data will be from CGWB or to be monitored by the consultant through piezometers. | The consultants to rely on secondary data from CGWB/Stet Groundwater Department or other relevant available sources. |
| 2.13 | 4. Payment Schedule and Conditions, | Submission of raw data files, pictures, working files, GIS shape files etc. | NIUA is kindly requested to clarify whether hard copies of maps to be provided. If yes, specify the number of copies and their sizes. | The maps included as part of the report are to be provided in hard copy format. Other maps can be provided in soft copy as specified in payment schedule/deliverables. |



| S. No. | RFP Clause | Description as per RFP | Pre-bid queries | Replies / remarks |
|--------|---|---|--|--|
| | Timeline for submission of deliverables and Payment Schedule at Page 79 | | | |
| 2.14 | 4. Payment Schedule and Conditions, Timeline for submission of deliverables and Payment Schedule at Page 79 | Mobilise team and prepare inception report, Baseline assessment report (draft), Conduct 2 nd Multistakeholder URMP Working Group Meeting and Final Baseline Assessment Report, Draft URMP, conduct 3rd Meeting of the Multistakeholder URMP Working Group and prepare Final URMP Report | NIUA is kindly requested to clarify on the number of copies for each report. | One copy of each report to be submitted in hard copy format as specified in payment schedule/deliverables. |
| 3 | - | L onal Pty Ltd (Australia) along with Alluvium C | onsulting India Pvt. Ltd. | <u> </u> |
| 3.1 | Data Sheet, Section-3, Sr No. 1-Company Profile, Pg 32 | The numbers of partners/members submitting the proposal as consortium/JV is limited to three numbers (3 nos.) with terms and conditions to be jointly met as per conditions mentioned in the RFP document. | Can we partner with a different department of the same institute that is on the steering committee of the URMP? We understand that the sub-consultancy firm may join the consortium beyond the three numbers specified. Please confirm. Also, will the sub-consultancy firm's experience be considered under Section 3: | Partnering with an institute who is on the steering committee of the URMP is not allowed. Maximum number of partners/members submitting the proposal as consortium/JV is limited to three numbers (3 nos.). |
| | | | Pre-qualification and Technical Evaluation Criteria? Please confirm. | The experience of the consortium/JV (consisting of max 3 agencies) will be jointly considered for pre-qualification and technical evaluation. Refer Section 3 of the RFP document. |



| S. No. | RFP Clause | Description as per RFP | Pre-bid queries | Replies / remarks |
|--------|--|--|---|--|
| 3.2 | Data Sheet, Section-3, Sr No. 1-Company Profile, Pg 32 | Registered with the Income Tax Authorities / Registered with GST Network. Copy of PAN Card / Copy of GST registration Certificate | If the lead consultant is from another country, they may be unable to provide the required documents, which are registered with the Indian government. However, we would request you accept the PAN/GST registration documents of its Indian subsidiary company. Please confirm. | Yes, it is acceptable. |
| 3.3 | Sample Format for Joint Proposal Agreement for Joint Venture, Clause no. 6 a | Member in Charge or Lead Member [Representative of Company] will be official signatory on behalf of the consortium and act as manager of the consortium and will be authorized to act for the consortium in terms of committing it to any obligations and liabilities and to receive and act upon instructions from the Client and to make and receive payments; | Can project-related payment against invoices be made to a foreign account of an international firm based out of India in case such a firm becomes the lead partner of a consortium? | The payments against invoices can be made to a foreign account of an international firm based outside India. However relevant income tax/GST rules of India for international payments will be applicable. The tender will remain as fixed cost based selection with ceiling of Rs1,35,00,000. Inclusive of the applicable taxes. The lead agency (either national or international), can receive payments in their account or alternatively authorize any of their consortium partner to receive payments as mutually agreed. |
| 3.4 | Technical Evaluation Criteria, Sl. No. 3, Pg 38-39 | Urban Environment Expert Min. Qualification - Graduate in civil/ environmental engineering/planning and Post graduate in urban environmental planning, public health engineering, environmental engineering / | If the expert is a Graduate in Civil engineering and Post-Graduate Diploma in Management, will it be considered? Please confirm. | No change. As per RFP. |



| S. No. | RFP Clause | Description as per RFP | Pre-bid queries | Replies / remarks |
|--------|--|---|--|--|
| | | management or any related discipline from a recognised institution. | | |
| 3.5 | Important Information for the Consultant, Pg 78 | All the team members proposed by the consulting firm in their technical proposal are mandated (non- negotiable) to attend the orientation program. | For the URMP training of the team, while the India based staff will attend in person, is there a possibility for foreign nationals (who will be part of the team) to attend the training virtually? | No change. As per RFP. |
| 3.6 | Section 3 – Pre- Qualification and Technical Evaluation Criteria, Sl. No. 3, Financial Capacity, Pg 34 | Statement from Statutory Auditor's stating total revenues from professional fees for the last 5 financial years. If the Consultant does not have a statutory auditor, audited statement from the firm's appointed Chartered Accounts, mentioning the professional fees received by the consultant. | Our "Balance Sheets" and "P&L statements" are developed by certified accounts each financial year but as Alluvium International is registered in Australia, it follows Australian Government regulations which do not require the company to produce audited accounts (see attachment A for further details on the relevant Australian Government regulations). As Alluvium is not legally required to produce audited accounts, we request that our annual accounts as prepared by a certified accountant are accepted as proof of our financial performance. Please note also that this explanation has been accepted for other project under Government of Assam, National Hydrology Project and World Bank funded opportunities in the past. Using our certified accountant prepared "Balance Sheets" and "P&L statements", we have | The submission of Balance Sheets and P&L Statements prepared by certified accountant will be acceptable. |



| S. No. | RFP Clause | Description as per RFP | Pre-bid queries | Replies / remarks |
|--------|--------------------|---|---|--|
| | | | been successful in obtaining project work | |
| | | | under the National Hydrology Project and | |
| | | | also in being shortlisted for a large | |
| | | | number of National Hydrology Project | |
| | | | opportunities. As per the above | |
| | | | explanation, we request you to please | |
| | | | confirm that submission of our existing | |
| | | | balance sheets and P&L statements | |
| | | | (prepared and certified by an accountant) | |
| | | | will be accepted as meeting the | |
| | | | requirements. | |
| 3.7 | Technical | Regional experience (relevant project | As per the scope, the study project cities | Please refer corrigendum/ addendum for the |
| | Evaluation | experience in cities for which URMP is to | are: Bhagalpur/Buxar/Chapra/Gaya/ | revised criteria. |
| | Criteria for | be prepared) -(10 marks for each project | Munger. We request you allow the | |
| | Selection of | city) | projects undertaken by the consultants in | |
| | Consultant; Sl. | | Bihar as they will cover the regional | |
| | No. 1 (f); pg. no. | | experience. Similarly, it should be applied | |
| | 36 | | to Jharkhand. Please confirm. | |
| 3.8 | Technical | Urban Planning Expert (Team Lead) with | We request you to consider an "Urban | No change. As per RFP. |
| | Evaluation | more than 15 years of experience in urban | Planning Expert (Team Lead) with more | |
| | Criteria for | planning and implementation projects, | than 15 years of experience in urban | |
| | Selection of | out of which minimum 5 years of | planning and implementation projects, | |
| | Consultant; Sl. | experience as Team Lead in similar | out of which minimum 5 years of | |
| | No. 3; pg. no. 38 | projects. The urban planning expert | experience as Team Lead/Dy. Team Lead | |
| | | should have at least 2 eligible assignments | in similar projects. | |
| | | in Urban Planning /Development | " The urban planning expert should have | |
| | | Consultancy as per eligible assignments | atleast 2 eligible assignments in Urban | |
| | | mentioned in Sr. No 1 (a) Urban Planning | Planning /Development Consultancy as | |
| | | and Development Experience. | per eligible assignments mentioned | |



| S. No. | RFP Clause | Description as per RFP | Pre-bid queries | Replies / remarks |
|--------|---|--|---|--|
| | | | in Sr. No 1 (a) Urban Planning and Development Experience. | |
| 3.9 | Section 7: Terms of Reference, Sub-section 3, pg. no. 72 | Table, Column 3: List of Maps/Information Base Map: Development Authority/City Boundary, ward boundary, cantonment or any other special | For the base map preparation, are we supposed to digitize these features manually or respective cities will be sharing the shape files of these features with the consultant? Please clarify. Also, | The consultant is expected to collect existing spatial data from the ULBs/relevant line departments for base map preparation. However, in absence of digitized data, the same needs to be generated. |
| | | area, major roads and railway network, river, drains, waterbodies, wetlands, major green area/forests, regional level parks/large open areas, major landmarks. | manual digitization of these features may lead to geo-referencing errors. | The maps are majorly aimed at spatial representation of the data. |
| 3.10 | Section 7: Terms of Reference, Sub-section 3, pg. no. 71 | The baseline assessment shall be mainly based on the secondary data available with relevant departments/open-source data and primary data in the form of stakeholder consultations and primary data from ground mainly with regards to strengthening of the understanding of existing situation, identification and detailing of gap areas. The Consultants are not expected to undertake extensive topographical, hydrological, geological etc. studies as part of the baseline assessment. | To derive URM index, assessment of water quality parameters of river stretches and waterbodies is critical. Do we need to undertake any water quality analysis for the waterbodies/river stretches? Or we can rely on latest available water quality reports from the respective city authorities? Please clarify. | The water quality analysis is expected only in cases where secondary data is not available. |
| 3.11 | Section 7: Terms of Reference, | The extent of detailing of each intervention, should | If the interventions are expected to cover pre-DPR level details, site specific data | The extent of detailing of each intervention, should be such that it can be taken up by the |



| S. No. | RFP Clause | Description as per RFP | Pre-bid queries | Replies / remarks |
|--------|---|---|---|---|
| | Sub-section 3, pg. no. 77 | be such that it can be taken up by the city for implementation (pre-DPR stage). The proposed interventions shall have site specific data and conceptual design that builds upon site specific primary assessments. | and primary assessments are required to develop conceptual designs. However, the RFP clearly states that primary investigations are not required to be undertaken, and all analysis is based on secondary data and consultations. Please clarify. | city for implementation (pre-DPR stage). The proposed interventions shall have site specific data and conceptual design that builds upon site specific primary assessments. For example, under Objective 4 – Enhancing Riparian Buffer, if stretches for providing the riparian buffer are to be proposed then it should be based on field assessment including availability of actual land on site, land ownership, inventory of native species, feasibility of implementation from technical perspective etc. The degree of detailing for each intervention should be such that, if selected for implementation, then the same can be taken forward for DPR preparation. The consultants are not expected to take detailed on-site assessments like topographic surveys, soil testing, hydrological studies, total station survey etc. |
| 4 | IBI GROUP INDIA P | RIVATE LIMITED | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |
| 4.1 | General | | Please confirm that parent firm's project experience that could bring international experience may be considered for the project experience. | The parent firm's project experience of international projects can be considered provided both the parent firm and it's international subsidiary are part of the consortium/JV. |
| 4.2 | Pg 39,TECHNICAL EVALUATION CRITERIA FOR SELECTION OF CONSULTANT | The technical proposal shall be evaluated on the basis of the consultant's experience, its understanding of the terms of reference (ToR), proposed methodology and workplan; and the | For the position of Urban Bio- diversity/Ecology Expert - We request the authority to kindly allow alternative degrees as Post graduation in Landscape Architecture/ Urban planning / Urban design with relevant experience. | No change. As per RFP. |



| S. No. | RFP Clause | Description as per RFP | Pre-bid queries | Replies / remarks |
|--------|-----------------------|---|---|--|
| | | experience of key personnel assigned by the consultant for delivering the scope of work. | | |
| 4.3 | Pg 57, Form TECH 6 | Form TECH-6: a brief outline of the recent experience of the Consultant that is most relevant to the assignment. In the case of a joint venture, information on similar assignments shall be provided for each partner. For each assignment, the outline should indicate the names of the Consultant's Key Experts and Sub- consultants who participated, the duration of the assignment, the contract amount (total and, if it was done in a form of a joint venture or a sub-consultancy, the amount paid to the Consultant), and the Consultant's role/involvement. | Please relax the completed previous similar projects criteria from 7 years to 10 years. | Please refer corrigendum/ addendum for the revised criteria. |
| 4.4 | Pg 70, 3a | The consulting firm is responsible for providing the following services for the preparation of the Urban River Management Plan for each of the city as mentioned above (involving the following key steps) | Facilitate formulation of Multistakeholder URMP Working Groups at city level. We understand that Municipal corporation/ council shall be the nodal agency for URMP preparation and providing all the support to the consultants. Municipal Commissioner shall be the Chairperson of the URMP working group and will assign a nodal person to coordinate with the consultant. Please confirm. | The understanding is correct. However, the chairperson of the working group shall be identified by the city and may differ from city to city. |



| S. No. | RFP Clause | Description as per RFP | Pre-bid queries | Replies / remarks |
|--------|----------------------------|--|---|--|
| 4.5 | Pg 71, 3c | | Prepare baseline assessment report, We assume that there is no primary survey to be conducted as part of this assignment, No GIS mapping & updates to data is expected, on-ground validation & verification is excluded from this scope.Primary data would involve reconnaissance visits within the city & the spatial data (in GIS formats) would be shared by the respective local bodies that would be used to create the URMP document. Please confirm. This is a critical clarification as the consultant fee allocated do not justify this expansive nature of task to be undertaken for each city. We also assume that every city has spatial data in GIS formats. Please confirm. | The consultant is expected to collect existing spatial data from the ULBs/relevant line departments for base map preparation. However, in absence of digitized data, the same needs to be generated. |
| 4.6 | Pg 76, 3b, d, h | | Please confirm that the working group meetings would be convened by the city officials (nominated to chair the working group). Consultants to facilitate these meetings only. | The URMP Working Group meeting will be convened by the Chairman/Chairperson of the working group. The consultant's responsibilities include: a. Setting the meeting agenda b. Coordinating with working group members. c. Facilitating the meeting including arrangement for the meeting logistics. |
| 4.7 | Pg 78 (Box Information) | NIUA shall conduct an orientation program (2-3 days) at New Delhi for the consulting firm selected. | Since it's an FBS, with very limited fee, please consider reimbursing travel expenses for the orientation programme as well. | The justified travel reimbursements for orientation programme shall be done by the client (NIUA), as per NIUA's travel policy. |



| S. No. | RFP Clause | Description as per RFP | Pre-bid queries | Replies / remarks |
|--------|--------------------------|---|--|--|
| 4.8 | Pg 70. 4. | Payment Schedule and Conditions | Please include a possibility of revising the fee for any time extension, under mutually agreeable terms. | No change. As per RFP. |
| 4.9 | Pg 71. | | Please consider a revision in payment schedule. 1. Mobilization - 20% (no change) 4. Final Baseline Assessment - 30% (no change) 4. Draft URMP - 30% 5. Final URMP - 20% Sharing of raw data to be included in the Final URMP Stage | No change. As per RFP. |
| 4.10 | Other | | We request for an extension of two weeks on the proposal submission date. | No change. As per RFP. |
| 5 | Nangia-Andersor | LLP | | • |
| 5.1 | Section 43.1 Page 108 | The Contract price is: INR 1,35,00,000/- Rupees One Crore Thirty Five Lakhs only, inclusive of all applicable taxes, duties and fees. | The contract price is inclusive of GST; further clarification is needed. | Yes. The contract price is inclusive of GST. |
| 5.2 | Section 3 Page 34 | Firm Credentials (40% weightage – total marks 600) Experience in similar assignments and studies – Completed and Ongoing (on-going projects will be considered only if more than 80% professional fees/assignment value received). | We request that the authority revise the clause to read: 'Experience in similar assignments and studies – Completed and Ongoing (on- going projects will be considered only if more than 60% professional fees/assignment value received). | No Change. As per RFP. |
| 5.3 | Section 3 Page 35 | The Consultant should have completed a minimum of 4 eligible assignments – two | We request that the authority revise the clause to read: 'The Consultant should have | No Change. As per RFP. |



| S. No. | RFP Clause | Description as per RFP | Pre-bid queries | Replies / remarks |
|--------|----------------------------|--|---|--|
| | | compulsory assignments as per (a), one compulsory assignment as per (b) and rest one assignment from (c), (d) and (e) as per the following: | completed/ongoing a minimum of 4 eligible assignments – two compulsory assignments as per (a), one compulsory assignment as per (b) and rest one assignment from (c), (d) and (e) as per the following: | |
| 5.4 | Section 46.2.2 Page 102 | The Lump-Sum Instalment Payments. The Client shall pay the Consultant within sixty (60) days after the receipt by the Client of the deliverable(s) and the cover invoice for the related lump-sum instalment payment. The payment can be withheld if the Client does not approve the submitted deliverable(s) as satisfactory in which case the Client shall provide comments to the Consultant within the same sixty (60) days period. The Consultant shall thereupon promptly make any necessary corrections, and thereafter the foregoing process shall be repeated | The payment milestones are clearly defined on page 79. Please explain the lump-sum instalment payment. Is it different from the payment schedule? | Lumpsum instalment payment shall be paid in accordance with the payment schedule defined on page 79 of the RFP document. |
| 5.5 | Page 102 Section 47 | If the Client had delayed payments beyond fifteen (15) days after the due date stated in Clause GCC 46.2.2, interest shall be paid to the Consultant on any amount due by, not paid on, such due date for each day of delay at the annual rate stated in the SCC. | What interest rate shall prevail under this clause. | The interest paid shall be 5.5% per annum, to be paid on the actual days of the delay in payment. |



| S. No. | RFP Clause | Description as per RFP | Pre-bid queries | Replies / remarks |
|--------|--------------|--|--|------------------------|
| 5.6 | Page 107 | The following limitation of the | We request the authority to | No Change. As per RFP. |
| | Section 23.1 | Consultant's Liability towards the | rephrase it as | |
| | | Client can be subject to the | The following limitation of the | |
| | | Contract's negotiations: | Consultant's Liability towards the | |
| | | "Limitation of the Consultant's | Client can be subject to the | |
| | | Liability towards the Client: (a) | Contract's negotiations: | |
| | | Except in the case of gross | "Limitation of the Consultant's | |
| | | negligence or wilful misconduct | Liability towards the Client: (a) | |
| | | on the part of the Consultant or on | Except in the case of gross | |
| | | the part of any person or a firm | negligence or wilful misconduct | |
| | | acting on behalf of the Consultant | on the part of the Consultant or | |
| | | in carrying out the Services, the | on the part of any person or a | |
| | | Consultant, with respect to | firm acting on behalf of the | |
| | | damage caused by the Consultant | Consultant in carrying out the | |
| | | to the Client's property, shall not | Services, the Consultant, with | |
| | | be liable to the Client: (i) for any | respect to damage caused by the | |
| | | indirect or consequential loss or | Consultant to the Client's | |
| | | damage; and (ii) for any direct loss | property, shall not be liable to | |
| | | or damage that exceeds one time | the Client: (i) for any direct loss or | |
| | | the total value of the Contract; (b) | damage that exceeds one time | |
| | | This limitation of liability shall not | the total value of the Contract; | |
| | | (i) affect the Consultant's liability, | (b) This limitation of liability shall | |
| | | if any, for damage to Third Parties | not (i) affect the Consultant's | |
| | | caused by the Consultant or any | liability, if any, for damage to | |
| | | person or firm acting on behalf of | Third Parties caused by the | |
| | | the Consultant in carrying out the | Consultant or any person or firm | |
| | | Services; (ii) be construed as | acting on behalf of the | |
| | | providing the Consultant with any | Consultant in carrying out the | |
| | | limitation or exclusion from | Services; (ii) be construed as | |
| | | liability which is prohibited by the | providing the Consultant with | |
| | | "Applicable Law" in India. | any limitation or exclusion from | |
| | | | liability which is prohibited by the | |
| | | | "Applicable Law" in India. | |
| 5.7 | Page 107 | The insurance coverage against | We request the authority to | No change. As per RFP. |



| S. No. | RFP Clause | Description as per RFP | Pre-bid queries | Replies / remarks |
|--------|--------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------|-------------------|
| | Section 24.1 | the risks shall be as follows: | delete this clause. | |
| | | (a) Professional liability insurance, | | |
| | | with a minimum coverage of one | | |
| | | times the value of the contract; | | |
| | | (b) Third Party motor vehicle | | |
| | | liability insurance in respect of | | |
| | | motor vehicles operated in the | | |
| | | Client's country by the Consultant | | |
| | | or its Experts or Sub-consultants, | | |
| | | with a minimum coverage as per | | |
| | | the latest Amended Motor | | |
| | | Vehicles Act, India | | |
| | | (c) Third Party liability insurance, | | |
| | | with a minimum coverage of one | | |
| | | times the value of the contract; | | |
| | | (d) Employer's liability and | | |
| | | workers' compensation insurance | | |
| | | in respect of the experts and Sub- | | |
| | | consultants in accordance with the | | |
| | | relevant provisions of the | | |
| | | applicable law in the Client's | | |
| | | country, as well as, with respect to | | |
| | | such Experts, any such life, health, | | |
| | | accident, travel or other insurance | | |
| | | as may be appropriate; and | | |
| | | (e) Insurance against loss of or | | |
| | | damage to (i) equipment | | |
| | | purchased in whole or in part with | | |
| | | funds provided under this | | |
| | | Contract, (ii) the Consultant's | | |
| | | property used in the performance | | |
| | | of the Services, and (iii) any | | |
| | | documents prepared by the | | |
| | | Consultant in the performance of | | |



| S. No. | RFP Clause | Description as per RFP | Pre-bid queries | Replies / remarks |
|--------|-----------------------|---|--|--|
| | | the Services. | | |
| 5.8 | Section 33 Page 98 | The Consultant shall provide appropriate sensitization to the Experts on social aspects of the Contract, including on prohibition of SEA and SH. The Consultant shall provide training on SEA and SH, including its prevention, to any of its Experts who has a role to supervise other Experts | We request the authority to delete this clause. | No change. As per RFP. |
| 5.9 | New clause | The RFP does not include the cost of any travel or accommodation. | We assume that the consultant's travel costs, whether incurred within the city or outside it, will be borne by the authority. | NIUA shall reimburse only travels and logistics related to the orientation training program. All other project related costs for travel, accommodation, etc. shall be borne by the consultant. |
| 5.10 | New clause | The RFP does not include a workstation for the consultant. | We assume that the consultant will be stationed at the NIUA office location. | NIUA shall not provide any station office to the consultants. |